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1. Introduction

This report presents an overview of the damage that was observed in fourteen churches that were hit by the May 2012 Emilia-Romagna earthquake sequence. The data was collected over the course of a two-day reconnaissance mission that took place on the 9th and 10th of July 2012, and that involved researchers from the Civil engineering Department of the Faculty of Engineering of the University of Porto and of the University of Aveiro, both institutions from Portugal.

It is noted that the current report only focuses the post-seismic damage assessment of churches and does not address the cultural and artistic losses associated to movable or immovable heritage. Furthermore, it is also referred that since the reconnaissance mission took place after the major earthquakes of the 20th and the 29th of May, the source of the reported damages is not assigned to a particular event and must be seen as the cumulative effect of several ground motions.

2. Brief review of the 2012 Emilia-Romagna earthquake sequence

On the 20th of May 2012, at 04:04 (local time), a magnitude M_w = 5.9 earthquake hit the Emilia-Romagna region of northern Italy. The epicentre was found to be approximately 30km northwest of Ferrara (Fig. 1) and the depth of the hypocentre was found to be around 6km. The focal mechanism indicates that the earthquake was a result of thrust faulting, with a north-south direction of compression, on a fault plane trending west-east (USGS, 2012). This earthquake resulted in 7 deaths, significant damage to historical constructions, churches and industrial buildings. The 20th of May event was followed by several aftershocks, namely two with a magnitude M_w higher than 5.0 on the same day.

On the 29th of May 2012, at 09:00 (local time), a magnitude M_w = 5.8 earthquake hit the same region. The epicentre of this new event was determined to be approximately 40km west of Ferrara, around 15km southwest of the epicentre of the 20th May event (Fig. 1), and the depth of the hypocentre was found to be around 10km. This earthquake had a similar mechanism to that of the 20th May event. This new earthquake caused further damage to the locations hit by the 20th May earthquake and extended the affected area to the East-side of the province of Modena. This event resulted in another 17 deaths and increased the level of damage of the historical constructions, churches and industrial buildings already weakened by the 20th May event. The 29th May event was followed by several aftershocks, namely three with a magnitude M_w higher than 5.0, two on the same day and another on June 3.

According to data from the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, peak ground accelerations of around 0.30g were recorded in the vicinity of both epicentres (INGV, 2012).
Fig. 1 – Location of the epicentres of the main events of the Emilia-Romagna earthquake sequence: general overview (a); detailed view (b): E1) earthquake of the 20th May, E1a) aftershocks of the 20th May earthquake with magnitude $M_w > 5.0$, E2) earthquake of the 29th May, E2a) aftershocks of the 29th May earthquake with magnitude $M_w > 5.0$.

3. Context of the presented church damages

The damage assessment that was carried out on fourteen churches surveyed during the reconnaissance mission is presented in the following. The churches that were surveyed are presented in Table 1 and their location relative is represented in Fig. 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Undamaged church</th>
<th>Reference number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Undamaged church</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>Cathedral of San Paolo</td>
<td>Mirabello</td>
<td></td>
<td>I2</td>
<td>Oratorio Ghisilieri</td>
<td>San Carlo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3</td>
<td>Church of San Martino</td>
<td>Buonacompra</td>
<td></td>
<td>I4</td>
<td>Church of San Lorenzo</td>
<td>Casumaro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I5</td>
<td>Church in Bondeno</td>
<td>Bondeno</td>
<td></td>
<td>I6</td>
<td>Church of Sacro Cuore</td>
<td>Bondeno</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I7</td>
<td>Church of San Geminiano Vescovo</td>
<td>Massa Finalese</td>
<td></td>
<td>I8</td>
<td>Church of Santa Maria Maggiore</td>
<td>Mirandola</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Undamaged church</td>
<td>Reference number</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Undamaged church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I9</td>
<td>Church of San Francesco</td>
<td>Mirandola</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Church of San Francesco" /></td>
<td>I10</td>
<td>Church of SS Apostoli Giacomo e Filippo</td>
<td>San Giacomo di Roncole</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Church of SS Apostoli Giacomo e Filippo" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I11</td>
<td>Church of Montalbano</td>
<td>Montalbano, Medolla</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Church of Montalbano" /></td>
<td>I12</td>
<td>Church of Cavezzo</td>
<td>Cavezzo</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Church of Cavezzo" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I13</td>
<td>Church of San Nicola di Bari</td>
<td>Cortile, Carpi</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Church of San Nicola di Bari" /></td>
<td>I14</td>
<td>Cathedral of Santa Maria Assunta</td>
<td>Carpi</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Cathedral of Santa Maria Assunta" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (continued) – Churches surveyed.
The surveys are presented in the form of visual damage report worksheets that are included in the end of this report. For churches that were more heavily damaged, the damage report worksheets only present the more significant damages. The damage report worksheets also include additional information such as:

- The church identification (name, location, GPS coordinates)
- The period of construction of the church
- The main dimensions of the church (and of the tower if it exists)
- The materials of the main construction elements
- The type of access that was available for the survey
- The overall damage state of the church
- The type of emergency interventions that were already in place (if any)

Aside from a few exceptions, the surveys were generally performed from the exterior. Therefore, the presented damage levels should be seen as mainly qualitative. The damage grading was carried out for all the churches using the list of damage types presented in Table 2. The Italian post-
earthquake damage survey form, e.g. see Lagomarsino (2012), and its corresponding list of damage mechanisms, was not considered in this analysis due to difficulties in identifying such mechanisms as the result of two aspects:

- The available access for the survey was rather limited in most cases.
- The level of damage exhibited by most churches results from the cumulative effect of more than one major earthquake event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage type</th>
<th>Damage number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the facade (partial or total)</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td>D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-plane detachment of walls</td>
<td>D5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment of the outer layer of masonry</td>
<td>D6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry crushing at the tie rod anchorage</td>
<td>D7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry crushing in compression</td>
<td>D8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pounding</td>
<td>D9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall of spire (facade/tower)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the tower (partial or total)</td>
<td>D11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of reinforced concrete elements</td>
<td>D12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – List of considered damage types.

For each church, only the more important damage types are reported, based on the in situ observations. The intensity of each damage type was then graded as Low, Medium or High. Based on the individual grading of the damage types, the global state of damage of each church was also graded as Low, Medium or High.

4. General characterization of the churches surveyed

The churches and towers surveyed are mostly made of brick masonry. The different brick masonry bonds of the masonry walls that were observed among the existing debris of some of the churches are presented in Figs. 3 to 8. The bond type of Fig. 3 represents an example of single
header bond masonry along with the corresponding sketch of the bond type according to Borri (2006). The block dimensions of this wall are $28 \times 14 \times 3.5$ cm according to in situ measurements.

![Single header brick masonry bond in church I2](image)

Fig. 3 – Single header brick masonry bond in church I2: general view (a), detail (b) and sketch of the bond type adapted from Borri (2006) (c), brick dimensions in cm.

The bond type of Figs. 4 and 5 is a double header bond masonry similar to the Flemish bond and was observed in churches I1 and I3. Figure 4 also presents a sketch of the bond type according to Borri (2006) and a sketch of the cross section view of the wall. In this case, the brick dimensions were not able to be measured. In church I1, it was also observed that the region of the timber truss supports was reinforced by duplicating the wall, Figs. 5b) and c). Although it was not able to be observed, it is believed that both walls must be connected to the truss by timber or metallic elements.
Fig. 4 – Double header brick masonry bond in church I3: general view (a), detail (b), sketch of the bond type adapted from Borri (2006) (c) and sketch of the cross section view of the wall (d).

Fig. 5 – Double wall made of double header brick masonry bond in church I1: general view (a), detail (b), view from the back side which supports a timber truss (c) and sketch of the cross section of the duplicated wall in the region of the truss support (d).
The bond types of Figs. 6 and 7 are examples of triple header masonry bonds. The several examples that were observed show the existence of different triple header bonds. Figure 6 presents an example of a more regular bond found in church I3, as can be seen from the pattern of the cross section view of Fig. 6c). However, the side view of Fig 6d) shows the inexistence of a regular pattern over the several courses of masonry. Figure 7 presents another example of this type of masonry bond also from church I3.

The examples of triple header masonry bonds presented in Fig. 8 were found in churches I9 and I10 and represent cases of a more irregular bond of this type. As can be seen, both the cross section views and the side views show the inexistence of a regular pattern. Furthermore, it is noted that, according to the detail of Fig. 8d), this irregularity promotes the detachment of the three leaves in some localized regions of the wall.

Fig. 6 – Example of a triple header brick masonry bond in church I3, general view (a), detail (b), sketch of the cross section view of the bond type (c) and highlighted detail of the side and the cross section view of the bond type (d).
Fig. 7 – Example of regular triple header brick masonry bond in church I3, general view (a) and detail (b).

Fig. 8 – Irregular triple header brick masonry bond in church I9, general view (a) and detail (b), and in church I10, general view (c) and detail (d), and sketch of the highlighted part of the cross section bond (e).
With respect to the walls, it is also referred that, in church I10, timber elements were found inside the triple header masonry lateral walls in the anchorage region of the tie rods with the purpose of enhancing their efficiency (Fig. 9).

![Timber element](image)

**Fig. 9** – Timber elements inside the walls of church I10 to enhance the efficiency of the tie rods, (a) and (b), and exterior view showing the tie rod anchoring plates (c).

The roof structure of the churches was generally seen to consist of timber trusses (Fig. 10). Timber battens run transversal to the trusses over which there is a roof sheeting made of masonry elements, either hollow, Fig. 11a), or solid, Fig 11b). The roof tiles are then fixed to this masonry sheeting by mortar, Fig. 11b).
Fig. 10 – Examples of roof structures made of timber trusses in churches I9 (a), I10 (b) and (c), I3 (d), and I1 (e).
Three types of vaults were observed in the churches: vaults made of brick masonry laid flatwise (Fig. 12), reed mat false vaults hanging from the roof timber trusses (Fig. 13), and vaults made of timber elements imbedded in mortar/plaster (Fig. 14).

Fig. 11 – Example of roof sheeting made of hollow masonry elements in church I9 (a), and made with solid masonry elements in church I3 (b).

Fig. 12 – Examples of vault structures made of brick masonry laid flatwise in church I1.
Fig. 13 – Examples of reed mat false vaults in churches I2 (a) and I10 (b) and (c), and detail of a reed mat (d).
Fig. 14 – Example of a vault made of timber elements imbedded in mortar/plaster in church II1.

With respect to the arches, those that were able to be observed were made of vertically stacked brick masonry (Fig. 15). The interior columns that were surveyed were also seen to be made of brick masonry (Fig. 16).

Fig. 15 – Examples of arches made of vertically stacked brick masonry in church II1.
Finally, it is also referred that in church II, the debris of a collapsed reinforced concrete element were found. The debris are believed to be part of a large reinforced concrete beam that existed over the transept area (Fig. 17). As can be seen, the beam reinforcement was made of smooth rebars and the transverse reinforcement was almost inexistent. It is also noted that the beam appeared to be supported by the brick masonry walls.
Whenever possible, general dimensions of the churches and towers were measured (Fig. 18). However, since the surveys were performed from safe positions, such dimensions should be considered to be approximate. Additional measures and data were also obtained from Decanini et al. (2012), Ioannou et al. (2012) and Rossetto et al. (2012).

Fig. 18 – Examples of general dimension surveys carried out for the churches and towers.

5. Emergency interventions

With respect to the emergency interventions that were observed in some of the churches, these were grouped according to the typologies presented in Table 3. Nonetheless, information was also obtained regarding some churches in which elements were either removed or demolished for safety reasons. For example, it was found that the tower of church I3 was demolished after the 20th May earthquake while the spire of the tower adjacent to church I1 was removed from its location also after the 20th May earthquake.
Table 3 – List of considered emergency intervention types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emergency intervention type</th>
<th>Emergency intervention number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel raker shoring of walls</td>
<td>i1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood raker shoring of walls</td>
<td>i2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood shore at the openings</td>
<td>i3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confinement of the facade with steel cables</td>
<td>i4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confinement of the tower</td>
<td>i5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confinement of the frontispiece with polyester-nylon strips</td>
<td>i6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confinement of the spire with polyester-nylon strips</td>
<td>i7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cathedral of San Paolo

**NAME:**

**DATE OF SURVEY:**

July the 10th, 2012

**LOCATION:**

Mirabello

**GPS COORDINATES:**

44° 49' 33.513"N 11° 27' 36.3364"E

**PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION:**

XXth Cent.

**CHURCH DIMENSIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>B (m)</th>
<th>h (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* approximate</td>
<td>19.0*</td>
<td>40.0*</td>
<td>20.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOWER DIMENSIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A1 (m)</th>
<th>B1 (m)</th>
<th>h1 (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* approximate</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>56.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS**

**WALLS**

- Brick masonry
- Reed mat false ceiling / Vertical stacked bond brick vaults / Brick masonry laid flatwise vaults
- Reinforced concrete elements

**ROOF**

- Timber

**AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exterior</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS**

Confinement of the spire with polyester-nylon strips

**The spire was removed after the earthquake of May the 20th**

**MAIN DAMAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>D10</th>
<th>D12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the facade (partial or total)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall of spire (tower)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of reinforced concrete elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall damage state:**

High
NAME: Oratorio Ghislieri (12)

DATE OF SURVEY: July the 10th, 2012

LOCATION: San Carlo

GPS COORDINATES: 44° 48’ 29.34” N 11° 24’ 30.30” E

PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION: XVIIIth Cent.

CHURCH DIMENSIONS:
- A (m): 18.0*
- B (m): 13.0*
- h (m): 19.5*

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS:
- WALLS: Brick masonry
- VAULTS: Reed mat false vault
- ROOF: Timber

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY:
- Exterior: X
- Interior: X

EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS: None

MAIN DAMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Description</th>
<th>Damage Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the facade (partial or total)</td>
<td>D2 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td>D3 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td>D4 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall damage state:</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NAME: Church of San Martino (13)
DATE OF SURVEY: July the 9th, 2012
LOCATION: Buonacompra
GPS COORDINATES: 44° 48’ 29.45” N 11° 20’ 39.9” E
PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION:

CHURCH DIMENSIONS
A (m) B (m) h (m)
* approximative
15.0* 20.0* n.a.

TOWER DIMENSIONS
A_1 (m) B_1 (m) h_1 (m)
* approximative
n.a. n.a. n.a.
n.a. - not available

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS
WALLS: Brick masonry
CHURCH VAULTS: Reed mat false vault
ROOF: Timber
TOWER: WALLS: Brick masonry

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY:
Total Partial None
Exterior X
Interior X

EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS: ** The tower was demolished after the earthquake of May the 20th.

MAIN DAMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Description</th>
<th>Damage Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the facade (partial or total)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-plane detachment of walls</td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detachment of the outer layer of masonry</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the tower (partial or total)**</td>
<td>D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall damage state: High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- Low
- Medium
- High
NAME: Church of San Lorenzo (14)
DATE OF SURVEY: July the 9th, 2012
LOCATION: Casumaro Finalese
GPS COORDINATES: 44° 50’ 16” N 11° 21’ 46” E
PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION: XVth Cent.

CHURCH DIMENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>B (m)</th>
<th>h (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10,0*</td>
<td>18,0*</td>
<td>12,0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOWER DIMENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A1 (m)</th>
<th>B1 (m)</th>
<th>h1 (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,25</td>
<td>3,25</td>
<td>24,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS

WALLS
Brick masonry

CHURCH: n.s.
VAULTS: n.s.
ROOF: n.s.

TOWER: n.s. - not surveyed

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exterior</th>
<th>Interior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS

- Steel raker shoring of walls: i1
- Wood shore at the openings: i3
- Confine of the façade with steel cables: i4
- Confine of the tower (in progress): i5
- Confine of the frontispiece with polyester-nylon strips: i6

MAIN DAMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D5</th>
<th>D9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-plane detachment of walls</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psundling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall damage state: Medium
NAME: Church in Bondeno (15)
DATE OF SURVEY: July the 10th, 2012
LOCATION: Via della Repubblica, Bondeno
GPS COORDINATES: 44° 53' 14.97" N 11° 24' 53.09" E
PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION: n.a.

CHURCH DIMENSIONS:
* approx. A (m) B (m) h (m)

TOWER DIMENSIONS:
* approx. A₁ (m) B₁ (m) h₁ (m)

n.a. - not available

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS
WALLS: n.s.
CHURCH VAULTS: n.s.
ROOF: n.s.
TOWER VAULTS: Brick masonry
n.s. - not surveyed

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exterior</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS:
Wood raker shoring of walls: 12
Wood shore at the openings: 13
Confinement of the facade with steel cables: 14

MAIN DAMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage</th>
<th>Damage intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall of spire (tower)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall damage state: Low
NAME: Church of Sacro Cuore (16)

DATE OF SURVEY: July the 10th, 2012

LOCATION: Bondeno

GPS COORDINATES: 44° 53' 7.45" N  11° 25' 0.63" E

PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION: XVIth Cent.

CHURCH DIMENSIONS

* approximate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>B (m)</th>
<th>h (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00*</td>
<td>15.00*</td>
<td>12.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOWER DIMENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A₁ (m)</th>
<th>B₁ (m)</th>
<th>h₁ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.80*</td>
<td>2.80*</td>
<td>18.20*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS

WALLS: n.s.

CHURCH VAULTS: n.s.

ROOF: n.s.

TOWER VAULTS: Brick masonry

n.s. - not surveyed

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY

Exterior

Interior

EXTREME INTERVENTIONS

Wood rackers shoring of walls  12
Wood shoring at the openings  13
Confinement of the tower with polyester-nylon stops  16

MAIN DAMAGE

Cracking: D1 — X

Damage intensity

Low | Medium | High

Overall damage state: Low
**NAME:** Church of San Geminiano Vescovo (17)

**DATE OF SURVEY:** July the 10th, 2012

**LOCATION:** Massa Fainaese

**GPS COORDINATES:** 44° 51' 5.43" N 11° 12' 40.75" E

**PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTIONS:** Church XIVth Cent.; Tower XXth Cent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHURCH DIMENSIONS</th>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>B (m)</th>
<th>h (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* approach</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>12.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOWER DIMENSIONS</th>
<th>A₁ (m)</th>
<th>B₁ (m)</th>
<th>h₁ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* approach</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. - not available

**CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS**

- **Walls:** Brick masonry
- **Church Vaults:** n.s.
- **Roof:** n.s.
- **Tower Walls:** Brick masonry

n.s. - not surveyed

**AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exterior</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS**

- Steel raker shoring of walls i1
- Wood shore at the openings i3
- Confinement of the tower (in progress) i5

**MAIN DAMAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Description</th>
<th>Damage Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1 Low Medium High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td>D3 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td>D4 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-plane detachment of walls</td>
<td>D5 X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall damage state: Medium
NAME: Church of Montalbano (III)

DATE OF SURVEY: July the 9th, 2012

LOCATION: Montalbano, Medolls

GPS COORDINATES: 44° 50' 56.39" N 11° 3' 15.97" E

PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTION: n.a.

CHURCH DIMENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>B (m)</th>
<th>h (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.0*</td>
<td>9.0*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOWER DIMENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A₁ (m)</th>
<th>B₁ (m)</th>
<th>h₁ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>2.0*</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. - not available

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS

- WALLS: Brick masonry
- CHURCH VAULTS: Timber imbedded in plaster/mortar
- ROOF: Timber
- TOWER VAULTS: Brick masonry

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exterior</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMERGENCY INTERVENTIONS: None

MAIN DAMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Description</th>
<th>Damage Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the facade (partial or total)</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of the roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-plane detachment of walls</td>
<td>D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry crushing in compression</td>
<td>D5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall damage state:</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Damage intensity:
- Low
- Medium
- High
NAME: Church of Cavezzo (112)

DATE OF SURVEY: July the 9th, 2012

LOCATION: Cavezzo

GPS COORDINATES: 44° 50' 13.52" N 11° 1' 51.54" E

PERIOD OF CONSTRUCTIONS: n.a.

CHURCH DIMENSIONS
- A (m): n.a.
- B (m): n.a.
- h (m): n.a.

TOWER DIMENSIONS
- A_1 (m): n.a.
- B_1 (m): n.a.
- h_1 (m): n.a.

n.a. - not available

CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS

WALLS
- Brick masonry

CHURCH VAULTS
- n.a.

ROOF
- Timber

TOWER WALLS
- Brick masonry

n.a. - not surveyed

AVAILABLE ACCESS FOR THE SURVEY
- Total
- Partial X
- None

EXTERIOR INTERVENTIONS
- None

MAIN DAMAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Description</th>
<th>Damage Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of roof/vaults (partial or total)</td>
<td>D3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapse of walls (partial or total)</td>
<td>D4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall of spire (tower)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall damage state: High
Name: Church of San Nicola di Bari (113)

Date of Survey: July 9th, 2012

Location: Cortile, Carpi

GPS Coordinates: 44° 47' 37.68" N 10° 58' 4.59" E

Period of Construction: XIXth Cent. (1872-1886)

Church Dimensions:
- A (m): 15.0*
- B (m): 30.0*
- h (m): 10.0*

Tower Dimensions:
- A (m): 3.5
- B (m): 4.5
- h (m): n.a.

n.a. - not available

Construction Elements:
- Walls: Brick masonry
- Vaults: n.s.
- Roof: n.s.
- Tower: Walls: Brick masonry
  n.s. - not surveyed

Available Access for the Survey:
- Exterior: X
- Interior: X

Emergency Interventions: None

Main Damage:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Description</th>
<th>Damage Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracking</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-plane detachment of walls</td>
<td>D5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall of spire (facade)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall damage state: Low